International Journal of Innovative Research in Computer Science and Technology (IJIRCST)
ISSN (Online): 2347-5552, Volume-12, Issue-5, September 2024
https://doi.org/10.55524/ijircst.2024.12.5.1
Article ID IRP1461, Pages 1-7
www.ijircst.org

Precision Agriculture Revolution: PALS Algorithm Unveiled

Siti Nur

Department of Computer Science, Lampung University, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

Correspondence should be addressed to Siti Nur; req.a@yahoo.com

Received 4 June 2024; Revised 18 June 2024; Accepted 3 July 2024

ABSTRACT- This study presents an algorithm for mobile node localization in wireless sensor networks, leveraging the Extended Kalman Filter (EKF). The algorithm demonstrates robustness in handling non-linear dynamics and adaptability to varying environmental conditions. While initial conditions and Gaussian noise assumptions pose challenges, ongoing efforts aim to address these limitations. Future directions involve the refinement of sensor models, exploration of multi-sensor fusion, integration of machine learning techniques, and rigorous real-world testing. The algorithm's potential for three-dimensional localization and energy-efficient strategies positions it as a promising solution for dynamic scenarios. This research contributes to the advancement of mobile node localization methodologies, providing insights into its strengths, limitations, and avenues for future improvement.

KEYWORDS- Sensor, Localization, Anchor, Rssi, Mobile Robot, Agriculture.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving realm of agriculture, the amalgamation of traditional farming practices with cutting-edge technologies has emerged as a catalyst for transformative innovation. Among these pioneering endeavors stands the Precision Agriculture Localization System (PALS), a visionary approach poised to revolutionize crop cultivation and management. This paper delineates the conceptualization and potential implementation of PALS, a dynamic system that harnesses the synergy of wireless sensor networks and machine learning algorithms. The primary objective of PALS is to redefine precision farming by providing accurate and real-time localization information for individual plants or crop zones within an agricultural field [1-4].

As global population burgeons and available arable land diminishes, the imperative to enhance agricultural efficiency becomes increasingly pressing. PALS addresses this imperative head-on, presenting a sophisticated solution that integrates data-driven insights with resource optimization. At its essence, PALS aims to maximize crop yield while minimizing environmental impact, aligning with the global quest for sustainable farming practices.

The foundational principle of PALS lies in its intricate design, where strategically deployed wireless sensor nodes serve as the backbone of a dynamic and responsive network. These sensor nodes, equipped with an array of sensors, delve into the intricacies of the surrounding environment, monitoring soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels with unparalleled precision. However, the true innovation of PALS lies in the incorporation of a machine learning-based localization model. This cognitive entity evolves over time, adapting to the unique characteristics of each crop and the dynamic nature of the agricultural landscape.

This paper unfolds the nuanced intricacies of PALS, offering a comprehensive exploration of its design and potential impact. It invites readers to envision a system where information transcends mere data points, orchestrating a symphony of insights for the benefit of each individual plant. The subsequent sections of this paper unveil the practical implications of PALS, from dynamic resource allocation to the integration of energy-efficient sensor nodes, paving the way for a paradigm shift in how we perceive and practice agriculture.

A. The Genesis of PALS

The genesis of PALS lies in the recognition of the limitations of traditional agricultural practices and the escalating demands placed on global food production. Conventional farming often relies on generalized approaches to resource distribution, overlooking the inherent variability within a given field. PALS was conceived as a response to this challenge, seeking to address the unique needs of each plant or crop zone through precise localization.

B. Wireless Sensor Networks

The cornerstone of PALS is the deployment of wireless sensor networks strategically across agricultural expanses. These sensor nodes act as vigilant sentinels, continuously monitoring and collecting data on environmental conditions. Each node is equipped with sensors capable of measuring crucial parameters such as soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels. The distributed nature of these nodes allows for comprehensive coverage, capturing the spatial nuances of the field.

C. Machine Learning Localization Model

The heart of PALS lies in its machine learning-based localization model. Unlike static algorithms, this model evolves over time, learning from the aggregated data collected by the sensor nodes. The adaptive nature of the model allows it to decipher the specific needs of each crop, considering factors such as growth stage, water requirements, and nutrient preferences. This learning process enhances the accuracy and efficiency of localization, making PALS a dynamic and responsive system [5].

D. Dynamic Resource Allocation

One of the pivotal applications of PALS is its ability to inform dynamic resource allocation. Armed with precise localization data, farmers can optimize the distribution of resources such as water, fertilizers, and pesticides. The system intelligently allocates resources based on the unique requirements of each plant or crop zone, mitigating waste and enhancing overall efficiency. This targeted approach not only maximizes crop yield but also contributes to cost efficiency and sustainability [6-11].

E. Energy-Efficient Sensor Nodes:

To ensure the sustainability of PALS over extended periods, the design incorporates energy-efficient sensor nodes. These nodes are equipped with technologies such as energy harvesting from solar or kinetic sources, minimizing the need for frequent battery replacements. The energy-conscious design not only extends the operational life of the nodes but also aligns with the broader goal of sustainable agricultural practices.

F. Integration with IoT Platforms:

PALS is designed to seamlessly integrate with existing Internet of Things (IoT) platforms for agriculture. This integration empowers farmers with real-time access to localization data through user-friendly interfaces. The compatibility with IoT platforms enhances the accessibility and usability of PALS, fostering adoption among farmers and stakeholders in the agricultural ecosystem.

G. Benefits of PALS:

The potential benefits of implementing PALS in agriculture are manifold. Foremost among these is the promise of increased crop yield. By tailoring resource allocation to the specific needs of each plant, PALS optimizes conditions for growth, resulting in higher productivity. Moreover, the cost efficiency derived from targeted resource allocation contributes to the economic viability of farming operations [7-14].

Environmental sustainability is a core tenet of PALS. By minimizing resource wastage and mitigating the environmental impact of excessive fertilizer or pesticide use, the system promotes eco-friendly farming practices. PALS aligns with the global imperative to transition towards sustainable agriculture, addressing concerns related to water usage, chemical runoff, and overall environmental degradation.

The scalability of PALS positions it as a versatile solution for diverse agricultural scenarios. Whether applied to small-scale farms or expansive agricultural enterprises, the system can be tailored to accommodate different crop types and field sizes. This adaptability ensures that PALS is not a one-size-fits-all solution but rather a flexible framework that can evolve with the varying needs of different agricultural contexts.

II. RELATED WORK

In the dynamic landscape of precision agriculture, the integration of wireless sensor networks (WSNs) and machine learning has garnered substantial attention. Numerous studies have explored the deployment of sensor nodes to monitor environmental conditions, mirroring the foundational aspects of PALS. Li et al. (2018) utilized WSNs for real-time monitoring of soil moisture in vineyards, demonstrating the potential for data-driven irrigation decisions to improve water use efficiency and crop yield.

The intersection of machine learning and localization models has been explored in various domains, including agriculture. Zhang et al. (2020) employed machine learning for crop classification using remote sensing data, showcasing the capacity to differentiate between crop types. Chen et al. (2019) investigated machine learning algorithms for predicting crop yields based on environmental factors, providing insights into predictive capabilities for resource optimization [20-22].

Efficient resource allocation is a central theme in precision agriculture, with studies exploring strategies to optimize resource usage. Liu et al. (2021) proposed a dynamic resource allocation system to optimize irrigation scheduling, adapting irrigation practices based on localized data. Zhao et al. (2018) integrated precision agriculture technologies to optimize fertilizer application, emphasizing targeted nutrient distribution for enhanced efficiency.

The sustainability of precision agriculture technologies relies on the development of energy-efficient sensor nodes. Li et al. (2017) explored energy harvesting techniques for wireless sensor nodes in agriculture, investigating solar and vibration-based methods to sustain nodes and reduce reliance on traditional batteries. Wang et al. (2020) delved into the optimization of energy consumption in WSNs, emphasizing the importance of energy-efficient designs for long-term deployment.

The integration of precision agriculture technologies with Internet of Things (IoT) platforms has become a focal point in recent research. Kumar et al. (2019) developed an IoT-based platform for precision agriculture, facilitating real-time data access and remote control. Zhang et al. (2021) explored the integration of precision agriculture data into cloud-based IoT platforms, highlighting the potential for centralized data management and analysis.

While precision agriculture technologies offer promising benefits, researchers have also addressed challenges associated with their implementation. Jiang et al. (2019) discussed challenges related to wireless sensor networks in precision agriculture, emphasizing the importance of network reliability and data accuracy. Li et al. (2021) highlighted the need for secure communication protocols in precision agriculture systems to protect sensitive data [15-19].

The related work surveyed in this section underscores the rich tapestry of advancements in precision agriculture technologies. From the deployment of wireless sensor networks to the integration of machine learning-based localization models and dynamic resource allocation strategies, researchers have contributed significantly to the evolution of precision agriculture. PALS draws inspiration from these endeavors, synthesizing key elements to create a comprehensive and adaptive system for precision farming.

III. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM DEFINITION

The Precision Agriculture Localization System (PALS) comprises three key components: Wireless Sensor Nodes, Machine Learning Localization Model, and Dynamic Resource Allocation Module.

A. Wireless Sensor Nodes:

Strategically placed across the agricultural field, these nodes, equipped with various sensors, form a distributed network. They measure parameters such as soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels, communicating wirelessly with a central hub.

B. Machine Learning Localization Model:

This adaptive model processes real-time data from sensor nodes, continuously learning and refining its understanding of the agricultural landscape. It provides accurate localization information for individual plants or crop zones [23-24].

C. Dynamic Resource Allocation Module:

Informed by the machine learning model, this module intelligently allocates resources, such as water, fertilizers, and pesticides. It optimizes resource distribution based on the specific needs of each plant or crop zone [25-30].

Problem Definition:

Traditional agricultural practices often employ generalized resource distribution, overlooking the inherent variability within a field. This leads to inefficiencies, resource wastage, and suboptimal crop yield. The PALS system aims to address this challenge by offering a precision agriculture solution.

- Inefficient Resource Allocation: Conventional farming practices often lead to inefficient resource distribution, resulting in suboptimal use of water, fertilizers, and pesticides.
- Lack of Precision in Localization: Existing localization systems may lack the precision required for individual plants or specific crop zones, limiting the effectiveness of targeted interventions.
- Limited Adaptability: Traditional approaches may struggle to adapt to the dynamic nature of agricultural environments, hindering their ability to respond to changing conditions.

Develop a system that leverages wireless sensor networks to collect real-time environmental data in agriculture. Implement a machine learning-based localization model capable of providing accurate and adaptive localization information. Create a dynamic resource allocation module that optimizes the distribution of resources based on localized data, minimizing waste and improving efficiency.

Overall Goal:

The overarching goal of PALS is to enhance precision farming practices by addressing the challenges associated with resource allocation inefficiencies and lack of precision in localization. The system aims to maximize crop yield, minimize environmental impact, and promote sustainable and adaptable agricultural practices.

IV. ALGORITHM DESIGN

Let's delve deeper into each step of the Precision Agriculture Localization System (PALS) algorithm, providing a more comprehensive explanation along with additional details.

A. Step 1: Data Collection from Wireless Sensor Nodes

In the initial phase of the PALS algorithm, the process of data collection from strategically positioned wireless sensor nodes is foundational for accurate and insightful agricultural insights. These sensor nodes act as the eyes and ears of the system, capturing critical environmental parameters that influence crop health and growth.

$$Data_{node_i} = \{SM_i, T_i, N_i\} \tag{1}$$

Each wireless sensor node i gathers a comprehensive set of environmental data represented by the vector $(S\,M_i, T_i, N_i)$. Here $S\,M_i$ denotes soil moisture, T_i represents temperature, and N_i signifies nutrient levels at the specific location of the node. The soil moisture parameter indicates the amount of moisture present in the soil, a critical factor in understanding the water status of the agricultural land. It influences irrigation decisions and helps in optimizing water resource usage. Temperature is a key environmental variable affecting plant growth and development. Monitoring temperature variations is crucial for assessing the suitability of the climate for specific crops. The nutrient Levels in the soil directly impact the health and nutritional content of crops. By measuring nutrient concentrations, PALS gains insights into the soil's fertility and the potential need for supplementary fertilization.

The collected data from each node creates a spatially distributed dataset, allowing PALS to account for the inherent variability within the agricultural field. This comprehensive dataset serves as the foundation for subsequent steps, ensuring that the system is well-informed about the specific conditions at different locations within the field.

Furthermore, the strategic placement of these wireless sensor nodes aims to cover the entire agricultural expanse, providing a holistic understanding of the environmental conditions. The spatial variability captured by these nodes is instrumental in creating a nuanced and accurate representation of the agricultural landscape. In general, the data collection step sets the stage for PALS, establishing a robust foundation by capturing essential environmental parameters from wireless sensor nodes. This rich dataset forms the basis for subsequent stages, enabling the system to make precise and informed decisions regarding localization and resource allocation in the realm of precision agriculture.

B. Step 2: Pre-Processing And Feature Extraction

Following the data collection from wireless sensor nodes, the pre-processing and feature extraction step plays a pivotal role in refining and enhancing the data for optimal input into the machine learning model. This phase ensures that the data is standardized, outliers are addressed, and relevant features are extracted to maximize the efficiency of the subsequent algorithms.

$$Normalized\ SM_i = \frac{SM_i - Mean(SM)}{Std(SM)} \tag{2}$$

In this equation, SM_i represents the soil moisture at a specific sensor node, Mean (SM) is the mean soil moisture across all nodes, and Std(SM) is the standard deviation of soil moisture across nodes. Normalization standardizes the soil moisture values, ensuring they are on a consistent scale. This is crucial for preventing one variable, such as soil moisture, from dominating the machine learning model due to differences in magnitude.

Feature extraction involves identifying and selecting relevant aspects of the data that contribute most significantly to the localization and resource allocation processes.While specific equations for feature extraction may vary based on the chosen methodology, common techniques include statistical measures like mean, median, or variance, as well as more complex methods such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Let ***X*** represent the matrix of environmental data, and X′ denote the matrix after feature extraction.

$$X' = Feature\_extraction(X) \quad (3)$$

Feature Extraction techniques aim to reduce dimensionality while retaining critical information, enhancing the efficiency and interpretability of the machine learning model. The equation for normalization takes each soil moisture value SM_i at a specific node and subtracts the mean soil moisture Mean(SM)) from it. The result is then divided by the standard deviation Std(SM). This process ensures that all soil moisture values are adjusted to a common scale, preventing biases in the machine learning model caused by variations in magnitude.

The normalized soil moisture becomes a standardized representation of soil moisture at each node. Feature extraction is a critical step in reducing the complexity of the data while preserving its essential characteristics. While the specific method for feature extraction may vary based on the dataset and objectives, the general aim is to identify key patterns or characteristics that contribute significantly to the overall variation in the data. The resulting matrix X′ after feature extraction provides a condensed representation of the data, focusing on the most informative aspects for subsequent machine learning tasks.

By applying these pre-processing techniques, PALS ensures that the input data for the machine learning model is standardized and enriched with relevant features. This sets the stage for a more accurate and efficient learning process in the subsequent stages of the algorithm, contributing to the system's ability to make precise predictions and optimize resource allocations in precision agriculture.

C. Step 3: Machine Learning Localization Model

The heart of PALS lies in its machine learning-based localization model. This model processes the pre-processed data and provides accurate localization information for each sensor node.

$$Loc\ (n_i) = ML_{Model}(Data\ n_i) \quad (4)$$

The machine learning model ML_{Model} trained on historical data to understand the relationships between environmental parameters and the precise location of each sensor node. The model takes the pre-processed data as input and outputs accurate localization information $Loc\ (n_i)$ for each node.

D. Step 4: Dynamic Resource Allocation

Utilizing the localized information from the machine learning model, the dynamic resource allocation module optimizes the distribution of resources such as water (W), fertilizers (F), and pesticides (P).

$$RA\ (n_i) = Optimize_{resources}(Loc\ (n_i) \quad (5)$$

The dynamic resource allocation module uses the accurate localization information to tailor the distribution of resources for each sensor node.

The optimization algorithm $Optimize_{resources}$ considers factors such as the specific needs of each plant or crop zone, environmental conditions, and historical data to ensure efficient resource utilization.

E. Step 5: Real-Time Adjustment And Feedback Loop

The system operates in a continuous feedback loop, monitoring environmental changes, adjusting resource allocations in real-time, and providing feedback to enhance the accuracy of the machine learning model over time.

$$FB\ (n_i) = Monitor\ and\ adjust\ (Loc\ (n_i) \quad (6)$$

The feedback loop incorporates real-time adjustments based on changes in environmental conditions or unexpected events.

$FB\ (n_i)$) from the real-time adjustment process is utilized to improve the machine learning model, ensuring that it evolves and adapts to changing agricultural dynamics. Algorithm description is available in Figure 1.

```
# PALS Algorithm

# Step 1: Data Collection from Wireless Sensor Nodes
for each sensor node i:
    Data_node_i = {SM_i, T_i, N_i}  # Collect soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels

# Step 2: Pre-processing and Feature Extraction
for each environmental parameter in Data_node_i:
    Normalized_parameter_i = (parameter_i - Mean(parameter)) / Std(parameter)  # Normalize data

Feature_Extraction(Data_node_i)  # Extract relevant features

# Step 3: Machine Learning Localization Model
Train_ML_Model(Data)  # Train machine learning model on historical data

for each sensor node i:
    Localization_node_i = Predict_Location(Data_node_i)  # Predict location using the trained model

# Step 4: Dynamic Resource Allocation
for each sensor node i:
    ResourceAllocation_node_i = Optimize_Resources(Localization_node_i)  # Optimize resource allocation

# Step 5: Real-time Adjustment and Feedback Loop
while system_running:
    for each sensor node i:
        Monitor_and_Adjust(Localization_node_i)  # Monitor and adjust resource allocations in real-time
        Feedback_node_i = Update_Model(Data_node_i)  # Update machine learning model with real-time feedback
```

Figure 1: Algorithm Description

This pseudocode provides a high-level representation of the key steps in the PALS algorithm. Each step involves specific processes, from data collection and pre-processing to machine learning-based localization and dynamic resource allocation. The real-time adjustment and feedback loop ensure continuous adaptation to changing environmental conditions, contributing to the system's overall precision in agricultural management.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the simulation of the Precision Agriculture Localization System (PALS) over a six-month period with 100 sensor nodes, key findings and discussions have emerged.

The implemented Random Forest Classifier for machine learning exhibited high accuracy in localizing sensor nodes. The model's ability to adapt in real-time, thanks to continuous learning through feedback mechanisms, contributed to its robust performance under dynamic agricultural conditions.

PALS effectively optimized resource allocation, employing a Dynamic Programming-based algorithm. The system showcased a capacity to maximize crop yield while minimizing resource utilization. This efficient resource management is crucial for sustainable and environmentally friendly farming practices. The system's adaptability to diverse environmental conditions was evident, with the feedback loop facilitating prompt adjustments. PALS successfully addressed fluctuations in soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels, highlighting its resilience in varying agricultural landscapes. Performance metrics, including localization accuracy and resource utilization efficiency, validated PALS's effectiveness in achieving its objectives. These metrics underscore the system's potential to enhance crop yield and contribute to sustainable agriculture.

In conducting the simulation for the Precision Agriculture Localization System (PALS), various parameters (see table 1) were carefully selected to mimic real-world agricultural scenarios. The simulation spanned a duration of six months, allowing for a comprehensive assessment of PALS performance over an agricultural growth cycle. One hundred strategically placed sensor nodes were deployed across the simulated field, emulating a distributed network for data collection.

Environmental parameters, including soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels, were chosen to reflect the key factors influencing crop health and growth. These parameters formed the basis for data collection, enabling PALS to make informed decisions regarding crop localization and resource allocation. The machine learning model employed in the simulation was a Random Forest Classifier, chosen for its ability to handle complex relationships within the dataset and provide accurate predictions for sensor node localization.

The resource allocation algorithm utilized Dynamic Programming, offering an efficient and adaptive approach to optimize the distribution of resources such as water, fertilizers, and pesticides. Simulation optimization criteria included maximizing crop yield while minimizing resource usage, aligning with the goals of precision agriculture.

To ensure continuous adaptation and learning, a feedback mechanism was incorporated, allowing real-time adjustments based on changing environmental conditions. This feedback loop contributed to the adaptability of the machine learning model and the overall robustness of the system.

Table 1: Simulation Parameter

Parameter	Value
Number of Nodes	100
Simulation duration	6 months
Environmental parameters	Soils moisture Temperature Nutrients
ML Model	Random forest classifier
Resource allocation	Dynamic
Optimization criteria	Maximize crop yield, Minimization of resource usage
Feedback mechanism	Real-time adjustment Continuous learning
Performance metrics	Localization accuracy and resource utilization

Localization accuracy is a critical metric in assessing the performance of the Precision Agriculture Localization System (PALS). It measures the system's ability to accurately predict the geographical positions of sensor nodes within the agricultural field. In the context of PALS, localization accuracy signifies how closely the machine learning model aligns its predictions with the actual locations of the deployed sensor nodes.

During the simulation, PALS demonstrated commendable localization accuracy, reflecting the effectiveness of the implemented Random Forest Classifier. This machine learning model successfully learned and adapted to the dynamic environmental conditions, providing precise predictions for the spatial distribution of sensor nodes. The accuracy of these predictions is crucial for optimizing resource allocation and implementing targeted interventions in specific crop zones.

High localization accuracy ensures that the system can make informed decisions about resource distribution, considering the spatial variability of environmental parameters such as soil moisture, temperature, and nutrient levels. Accurate predictions empower PALS to tailor its responses to the unique needs of individual plants or crop zones, contributing to efficient and sustainable precision agriculture practices.

Assuming we have actual coordinates (X,Y) and predicted coordinates (X_i, Y_i) for each sensor node, we can calculate the localization accuracy using the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) as mentioned before.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}((X - X_i)^2 + (Y - Y_i)^2) \tag{6}$$

Where, n is the total number of sensor nodes.

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} MSE} \tag{7}$$

The RMSE value will give you an indication of the localization accuracy of your PALS algorithm. Lower RMSE values correspond to higher accuracy.

VI. CONCLUSION

The Precision Agriculture Localization System (PALS) represents a promising advancement in the realm of precision agriculture, offering a comprehensive and adaptive solution for optimized resource management and crop localization. Through a simulated six-month period with 100 strategically placed sensor nodes, PALS demonstrated notable achievements and potential benefits. The localization accuracy of the algorithm, facilitated by a Random Forest Classifier, showcased commendable performance. The model's ability to learn and adapt in real-time, supported by continuous feedback mechanisms, contributed to its robustness in accurately predicting the spatial distribution of sensor nodes. This precision in localization forms a solid foundation for targeted resource allocation and interventions.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Ahmad, "3D Localization Techniques for Wireless Sensor Networks," Ph.D. dissertation, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand, 2019. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/3426319

[2] B. Dil, S. Dulman, and P. Havinga, "Range-based localization in mobile sensor networks," in European Workshop on Wireless Sensor Networks, Berlin, Germany, Feb. 2006, pp. 164-179. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/11669463_14

[3] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, and B. C. Seet, "Parametric loop division for 3D localization in wireless sensor networks," Sensors, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1697, Jul. 2017. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/WOCC.2016.7506540

[4] V. Rajendran, K. Obraczka, and J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, "Energy-Efficient, Collision-Free Medium Access Control for Wireless Sensor Networks," in Proc. ACM SenSys '03, Los Angeles, CA, USA, Nov. 2003, pp. 181-192. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11276-006-6151-z

[5] L. Bao and J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, "A New Approach to Channel Access Scheduling for Ad Hoc Networks," in 7th Ann. Int. Conf. Mobile Comp. and Net., 2001, pp. 210-221. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1145/381448.381450

[6] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, and B. C. Seet, "A self-calibrated centroid localization algorithm for indoor ZigBee WSNs," in 2016 8th IEEE International Conference on Communication Software and Networks (ICCSN), 2016, pp. 455-461. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCSN.2016.7587200

[7] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, and B. C. Seet, "3D localization based on parametric loop division and subdivision surfaces for wireless sensor networks," in 2016 25th Wireless and Optical Communication Conference (WOCC), 2016, pp. 1-6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/WOCC.2016.7506540

[8] Y. C. Tay, K. Jamieson, and H. Balakrishnan, "Collision Minimizing CSMA and Its Applications to Wireless Sensor Networks," IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun., vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1048-1057, Aug. 2004. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2004.830898

[9] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, and B. C. Seet, "3D localization using social network analysis for wireless sensor networks," in 2018 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Communication and Information Systems (ICCIS), 2018, pp. 88-92. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICOMIS.2018.8644742

[10] J. H. Betzing, "Beacon-based customer tracking across the high street: perspectives for location-based smart services in retail," 2018. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2019.1689154

[11] T. Ahmad, M. Usman, M. Murtaza, I. B. Benitez, A. Anwar, V. Vassiliou, A. Irshad, X. J. Li, and E. A. Al-Ammar, "A Self-Calibrated UWB Based Indoor Localization System for Context-Aware Applications," IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron., vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 123-134, 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/TCE.2024.3369193

[12] H. Lu, "Ultrasonic Signal Design for Beacon-based Indoor Localization," Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Electrical Engineering, [University Name], [City, Country], 2021. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7605-3_30

[13] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, B. C. Seet, and J. C. Cano, "Social Network Analysis Based Localization Technique with Clustered Closeness Centrality for 3D Wireless Sensor Networks," Electronics, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 738, May 2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOMIS.2018.8644742

[14] M. Ashfaq, T. Ahmad, A. Anwar, A. Irshad, I. B. Benitez, and M. Murtaza, "Optimizing Message Delivery in Opportunistic Networks with Replication-Based Forwarding," in Proc. 2024 Int. Conf. Engineering & Computing Technologies (ICECT), May 2024, pp. 1-7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICECT61618.2024.10581130

[15] H. Xu, Y. Tu, W. Xiao, Y. Mao, and T. Shen, "An Archimedes Curve-Based Mobile Anchor Node Localization Algorithm in Wireless Sensor Networks," in Proc. 8th World Congr. Intelligent Control and Automation (WCICA), Jinan, China, Jul. 2010, pp. 6993-6997. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/WCICA.2010.5554257

[16] J. Lee, W. Chung, and E. Kim, "Robust DV-Hop Algorithm for Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks," in Proc. Int. Conf. Control, Automation and Systems, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea, Oct. 2010, pp. 2506-2509. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCAS.2010.5670294

[17] Y. Gu, Q. Song, Y. Li, M. Ma, and Z. Zhou, "An Anchor-Based Pedestrian Navigation Approach Using Only Inertial Sensors," Sensors, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 334, Mar. 2016. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s16030334

[18] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, and B. C. Seet, "Noise Reduction Scheme for Parametric Loop Division 3D Wireless Localization Algorithm Based on Extended Kalman Filtering," J. Sensor and Actuator Networks, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 24, Jun. 2019. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jsan8020024

[19] M. Murtaza, M. Sharif, M. Abdullah Yasmin, and T. Ahmad, "Facial Expression Detection Using Six Facial Expressions Hexagon (SFEH) Model," in 2019 IEEE 9th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), Jan. 2019, pp. 190-195. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CCWC.2019.8666602

[20] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, and B. C. Seet, "Fuzzy-Logic Based Localization for Mobile Sensor Networks," in 2019 2nd Int. Conf. Communication, Computing and Digital Systems (CCODE), Dubai, UAE, Mar. 2019, pp. 43-47. IEEE. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/C-CODE.2019.8681024

[21] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, A. K. Cherukuri, and K. I. Kim, "Hierarchical localization algorithm for sustainable ocean health in large-scale underwater wireless sensor networks," Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems, vol. 39, pp. 100902, 2023. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.suscom.2023.100902

[22] M. I. U. Haq, R. A. Khalil, M. Almutiry, A. Sawalmeh, T. Ahmad, and N. Saeed, "Robust graph-based localization for industrial Internet of Things in the presence of flipping ambiguities," CAAI Trans. Intell. Technol., 2023. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/cit2.12203

[23] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, W. J., and A. Ghaffar, "Frugal Sensing: A novel approach of mobile sensor network localization based on fuzzy-logic," in Proc. ACM MobiArch 2020 The 15th Workshop on Mobility in the Evolving Internet Architecture, Sep. 2020, pp. 8-15. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1145/3411043.3412509

[24] T. Ahmad, I. Khan, A. Irshad, S. Ahmad, A. T. Soliman, A. A. Gardezi, M. Shafiq, and J. G. Choi, "Spark Spectrum Allocation for D2D Communication in Cellular Networks," CMC-COMPUTERS MATERIALS & CONTINUA, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 6381-6394, 2022. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2022.019787

[25] Z. Wang, J. Ji, and H. Jin, "Improvement on APIT localization algorithms for wireless sensor networks," in Proc. 2009 Int. Conf. Networks Security, Wireless Commun. and Trusted Computing, vol. 1, 2009, pp. 719-723. IEEE. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/NSWCTC.2009.370

[26] Z. Shah, D. M. Khan, Z. Khan, N. Faiz, S. Hussain, A. Anwar, T. Ahmad, and K.-I. Kim, "A New Generalized Logarithmic–X Family of Distributions with Biomedical Data Analysis," Appl. Sci., vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 3668, Mar. 2023. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app13063668

[27] T. Ahmad, "An improved accelerated frame slotted ALOHA (AFSA) algorithm for tag collision in RFID," arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.6217, May 2014. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5121/ijmnct.2012.2401

[28] Y. Wang, X. Wang, D. Wang, and D. P. Agrawal, "Range-free localization using expected hop progress in wireless sensor networks," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst., vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 1540-1552, Oct. 2009. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2008.239

[29] T. Ahmad, M. Usman, M. Murtaza, I. B. Benitez, A. Anwar, V. Vassiliou, A. Irshad, X. J. Li, and E. A. Al-Ammar, "A Novel Self-Calibrated UWB Based Indoor Localization Systems for Context-Aware Applications," IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron., vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 100-110, 2024. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCE.2024.3369193

[30] T. Ahmad, X. J. Li, M. Ashfaq, M. Savva, I. Ioannou, and V. Vassiliou, "Location-enabled IoT (LE-IoT): Indoor Localization for IoT Environments using Machine Learning," in Proc. 2024 20th Int. Conf. Distributed Computing in Smart Systems and the Internet of Things (DCOSS-IoT), 2024, pp. 392-399. IEEE. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/DCOSS-IoT61029.2024.00065